		1		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
2		- X		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,			
4	Plaintiff ,	16-CR-516(NGG) :		
5	-against-	:		
6	OZ AFRICA MANAGEMENT GP, LLC	, : United States Courthouse		
7 8	Defendant.	Brooklyn, New York :		
9		- X		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: September 29, 2016 2:30 p.m.		
11	Plaintiff,	:		
12	- against -	:		
13	OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC,	:		
14	Defendant.	:		
15		- X		
16	TRANSCRIPT OF DIFADING			
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PLEADING BEFORE THE HONORABLE NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.			
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.			
19	APPEARANCES:			
20				
21		ROBERT L. CAPERS United States Attorney		
22		BY: JAMES P. LOONAM JONATHAN LAX		
23	Assistant United States Attorneys 271 Cadman Plaza East			
24		Brooklyn, New York		
25				

ı			
			2
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)		
2		EPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 400 New York Avenue, NW	
3		ashington, DC 20530	
4	BY	Y: JAMES P. McDONALD, ESQ. LEO TSAO, ESQ.	
5			
6 7	20	IBSON DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 00 Park Avenue ew York, New York 10166	
8		7: JOEL M. COHEN, ESQ.	
9		LEE G. DUNST, ESQ. MARK K. SCHONFELD, ESQ.	
10		F. JOSEPH WARIN, ESQ.	
11	Court Reporter: Charleane M. Heading 225 Cadman Plaza East		
12	Br	rooklyn, New York 718) 613-2643	
13		10) 013-2043	
14	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.		
15			
16	* *	* * * *	
17			
18	THE CLERK: Criminal cause for a plea.		
19	Counsel, just step up, please. Please state your		
20	appearances for the record.		
21	MR. LOONAM: James Loonam for the United States.		
22	Good afternoon, Your Honor.		
23	MR. McDONALD: James McDonald for the United States.		
24	THE COURT: You are from Washington?		
25	MR. McDONALD: Yes, Your Honor.		

```
3
              THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
1
 2
              MR. TSAO: Good afternoon. Leo Tsao for the United
 3
    States.
 4
              THE COURT: You are from Washington?
              MR. TSAO: Also from Washington.
5
              THE COURT:
 6
                          Okay.
7
              MR. LAX: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jonathan Lax
8
    also for the United States.
9
              THE COURT: From New York?
10
              MR. LAX: From New York, Your Honor.
11
              MR. LOONAM:
                           That's an IRS agent, Your Honor, Yves
12
    Hunziker, who worked an awful lot on the case.
13
              THE COURT: Welcome.
14
              And for defendants?
              MR. COHEN: Your Honor, we're all from Gibson Dunn.
15
    I'm Joel Cohen.
16
17
              MR. DUNST: Lee Dunst.
18
              THE COURT: You are Joel Cohen?
19
              MR. COHEN:
                          I'm Joel Cohen, yes.
20
              THE COURT: You are --
21
              MR. DUNST: Lee Dunst, Your Honor.
22
              MR. COHEN: Your Honor, and this is to my left is
23
    Mark Schonfeld and to my right is Joe Warin. I would ask Your
24
    Honor to -- they have put in motions to be admitted to the
25
    court pro hac --
```

```
4
              THE COURT: Pro hac vice.
1
 2
                          But it's pending. I would ask Your
              MR. COHEN:
 3
    Honor to allow them to appear for today's proceeding.
              THE COURT: Sure. You put in the application?
 4
              MR. COHEN: It's pending, Your Honor.
 5
 6
              THE COURT: Of course they can appear. Thank you.
7
    Nice to have you here.
8
              Who is going to speak for the defendants, one of you
9
    or all of you?
10
              MR. SCHONFELD: I will, primarily, Your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: And you are Mr. Schonfeld?
12
              MR. SCHONFELD:
                              Yes.
13
              THE COURT: Are you in New York or some other place?
14
              MR. SCHONFELD: Yes, New York.
15
              THE COURT: All right.
              Okay. As is apparent, I am not Judge Donnelly.
16
17
              MR. LOONAM: You are not.
18
              THE COURT: And Judge Donnelly has recused herself
19
    and I received this case at about 11:30 this morning and I
20
    have spent all the time between then and now to get up to
21
    speed about it. You are going to help me.
22
              Perhaps the first thing we can do is hear from
23
    Mr. Loonam about what this all about.
24
              MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor.
25
              THE COURT: And then after we do that, we have a
```

5 representative of the corporation who is going to appear for 1 2 the corporation pursuant to a decision of the board of 3 directors, I take it? So, we will get to that in a minute. 4 Let's just start with you, Mr. Loonam. MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor. 5 So there are two matters before Your Honor, this 6 7 matter that's currently called United States of America 8 against Och-Ziff Management GP LLC. 9 So, this is 16-515, OZ Africa Management GP, LLC, 10 and in this instance, OZ Africa Management GP, LLC is going to 11 waive indictment and enter a plea of guilty to an information 12 which should be before Your Honor pursuant to a plea agreement 13 with the government. 14 THE COURT: All right. I have the plea agreement --I'm sorry -- the information in 16-CR-515, United States of 15 America against OZ Africa Management GP, LLC consisting of 16 17 24 pages. Got it. 18 MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor. And it's a single 19 count that charges the defendant with engaging in a conspiracy 20 to bribe officials in the Democratic Republic of Congo 21 contrary to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. 22 THE COURT: Got it. All right. 23 All right. So this is an information and before we 24 can proceed on the information, I need to have the corporation

CMH OCR RMR CRR FCRR

arraigned on the information.

25

```
6
1
              So, Mr. Schonfeld, have you reviewed the information
 2
    with the corporation?
 3
              MR. LOONAM: I apologize, Your Honor. I think the
 4
    first step would be --
              THE COURT: You want me --
5
 6
              MR. LOONAM: We should go through the waiver of
7
    indictment and ensure that the waiver is entered knowingly and
8
    voluntarily.
9
              THE COURT: Right. Let's do something before we do
10
    that.
11
              And who are you, ma'am?
              MS. KILFOYLE: I'm Colleen Kilfoyle. I'm an
12
13
    assistant general counsel at Och-Ziff Capital Management Group
14
    LLC.
15
              THE COURT: Okay. Stop.
              I take it, Mr. Schonfeld, Ms. Kilfoyle is appearing
16
17
    here as the official representative of both corporations, is
18
    that right?
19
              MR. SCHONFELD: Yes, Your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: Okay. Before I ask you any questions, I
21
    would like you to be sworn in by the clerk.
22
              THE CLERK:
                          Please raise your right hand.
23
              (Ms. Kilfoyle sworn by the clerk.)
24
              THE COURT: All right.
25
              Okay. Ms. Kilfoyle, are you an authorized officer
```

7 or agent for OZ Africa Management GP, LLC? 1 2 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: And as long as we are here, are you also 4 an authorized representative for Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC? 5 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Are you authorized by a vote of 8 the board of directors to speak and act on these corporations' 9 behalf throughout this proceeding? 10 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes. THE COURT: Do you and the officers and directors of 11 12 the corporation understand what is happening in these 13 proceedings? 14 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Has the lawyer for the corporation 15 16 explained to you and the officers and directors, the 17 consequences that may flow from these proceedings? 18 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Schonfeld, have 20 these corporations authorized you to act as their lawyer? 21 MR. SCHONFELD: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Okay. If at any time any of you do not 23 understand any question I ask you, do not try to answer it. 24 Tell me that you do not understand it and I will rephrase it. 25 If at any time you want to talk to each other, to

```
8
    the authorized representative, to your attorney, don't try to
1
 2
    answer my question. Just tell me you want to talk to the
 3
    other and I will permit you to do so.
 4
              Do you understand that?
              MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.
5
              THE COURT: Do you understand that, sir?
 6
7
              MR. SCHONFELD: Yes.
8
              THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Schonfeld, have you
9
    received the information -- I'll get there.
              MR. LOONAM: Okay.
10
11
              THE COURT: I've done this for 16 years. I can
12
    handle it.
13
              MR. LOONAM: Yes, of course, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: When I make a mistake, you will tell me.
    Until I make a mistake, don't tell me.
15
16
              MR. LOONAM: Okay.
17
              THE COURT: Have you received the information in
18
    this case?
19
              MR. SCHONFELD: Yes.
20
              THE COURT: Okay. And have you shared it with your
21
    client?
22
              MR. SCHONFELD:
                              Yes.
23
              THE COURT: Has OZ Africa Management GP, LLC
24
    received the information?
25
              MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.
```

```
9
              THE COURT: Have you reviewed it with your client?
1
 2
              MR. SCHONFELD: Yes.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. Now, do you understand,
 4
    Ms. Kilfoyle, that OZ Africa Management GP, LLC has the right
    to be charged by way of an indictment by a grand jury?
5
              MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
7
              THE COURT: And that in lieu of an indictment by a
8
    grand jury, this case has been brought against OZ Africa
9
    Management GP, LLC by way of an information, do you understand
    that?
10
              MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.
11
12
              THE COURT: Okay. And do you understand the
13
    contents of the information?
14
              MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. And does your corporation
15
    understand the contents of the information?
16
17
              MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: Okay. Now, I have in front of me --
19
              MR. LOONAM: Thanks, Judge.
20
              THE COURT: You're welcome.
21
              -- a waiver of indictment in United States of
22
    America versus OZ Africa Management GP, LLC, case number
23
    16-CR-515, and I am going to hand it to you, Ms. Kilfoyle, and
24
    Mr. Schonfeld, and I am going to ask some questions.
25
              Ms. Kilfoyle, have you read this waiver of
```

```
10
    indictment?
1
 2
              MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your
 4
    attorney?
              MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.
5
 6
              THE COURT: Has it been reviewed by you and is it
 7
    understood to have been offered by your board of directors as
8
    well?
              MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.
9
10
              THE COURT: And is that your signature on the waiver
11
    of indictment?
              MS. KILFOYLE: It is, Your Honor.
12
13
              THE COURT: And did you sign it on behalf of the
14
    corporation in your official capacity?
15
              MS. KILFOYLE: I did, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: As the representative of the
17
    corporation?
18
              MS. KILFOYLE: Yes.
19
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Schonfeld, have you
20
    reviewed the waiver of indictment with your client?
21
              MR. SCHONFELD: Yes, I have.
22
              THE COURT: And have you answered all your client's
23
    questions about the consequences of waiving indictment of a
24
    grand jury?
25
              MR. SCHONFELD: Yes, Your Honor.
```

11 THE COURT: And is your signature on that document 1 2 as well? 3 MR. SCHONFELD: Yes, it is. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Please return it to me. I find that the OZ Africa Management GP, LLC has 5 6 knowingly, voluntarily and intentionally waived indictment by 7 the grand jury. Okay? 8 All right. Anything else on the waiver? 9 MR. LOONAM: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. All right. 11 This plea is being offered pursuant to 12 an information and I have been advised that the person 13 appearing before the Court is an officer or authorized 14 employee of the corporation OZ Africa Management GP, LLC, that the board of directors was empowered to authorize her to enter 15 16 a plea of guilty to the charge brought against the 17 organization and the person before the Court is authorized by 18 a valid resolution to enter a plea of guilty to the charge before the Court. 19 20 Is that right? 21 MR. SCHONFELD: Yes. 22 THE COURT: All right. On the information, you need 23 to be arraigned on the information. 24 With regard to the information that you have told me 25 that you have reviewed on behalf of the corporation, does the

```
12
    corporation wish to enter a plea of guilty by way of the
1
 2
    arraignment?
 3
              MR. SCHONFELD: Yes, it does.
 4
              THE COURT: The arraignment will be not guilty, for
    the arraignment?
5
 6
              MR. SCHONFELD: Oh, not guilty.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. Very well. A plea of not
8
    guilty is entered for OZ Africa Management GP, LLC.
9
              So, at this point, it is time for the government to
10
    set forth a summary of the charges contained in the
11
    information.
12
              MR. LOONAM: Sure, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: I would have you read the information as
14
    some judges would do --
15
              MR. LOONAM: I know that there's --
16
              THE COURT: -- but I have dinner plans at 8:00 p.m.
17
              MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: And I have read the information.
19
              I assume that, Ms. Kilfoyle, you read the
    information?
20
21
              MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: Counsel has read the information?
23
              MR. SCHONFELD:
                              Yes.
24
              THE COURT: And the government prepared the
25
    information?
```

13 1 MR. LOONAM: Correct, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: And it is signed by the U.S. Attorney 3 and Sandra L. Moser, Principal Deputy Chief, Criminal 4 Division, Fraud Section. MR. LOONAM: Correct, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: 6 Go ahead. 7 MR. LOONAM: So, not with respect to the elements, 8 Your Honor, just the factual basis for the information. 9 THE COURT: Right. 10 MR. LOONAM: The count charged concerns a conspiracy that the defendant Och-Ziff Africa Management GP, LLC entered 11 12 into in connection with a business venture in Africa, 13 specifically, in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, entered 14 into an agreement with its business partner to pay bribes to high level government officials in the Democratic Republic of 15 Congo and took overt acts in furtherance of that conspiracy 16 within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere. 17 18 THE COURT: All right. Very well. 19 Now, in the information, it sets forth the penalties 20 so as to be able to pleading guilty to this crime. What page 21 is that on? 22 MR. LOONAM: Page 11 of the plea agreement, Your 23 Honor. 24 THE COURT: Page 11. 25 I have a plea agreement marked as Court's Exhibit

14 No. 1 dated today, September 29, 2016, in 16-CR-515, United 1 2 States of America against OZ Africa Management GP, LLC, 3 consisting of 19 pages and three addenda. 4 Three exhibits, right? MR. LOONAM: That's correct, Your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: All right. I am going to hand the 7 agreement to the defendant. I note that the person who signed 8 on behalf of OZ Africa Management GP, LLC is Joel M. Frank, Mr. Frank. 9 10 Where is Mr. Frank? 11 MR. COHEN: He's not here, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: He is not here? 13 MR. COHEN: But --14 THE COURT: I know she is here, but I am going to have to get her to sign it as well because the person who is 15 16 in front of me has to sign the agreement. 17 So, let me hand you the agreement and ask some 18 questions. 19 Ms. Kilfoyle, have you read this plea agreement? 20 MS. KILFOYLE: I have, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: And have you discussed it with counsel? 22 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Has counsel answered any and all 24 questions that you had on behalf of the corporation regarding 25 the agreement?

15 1 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Do you understand the contents of the 3 agreement? 4 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you sign the agreement right 5 underneath Mr. Frank's name. 6 7 (Ms. Kilfoyle complies.) 8 THE COURT: Okay. And the agreement is also signed 9 by you, Mr. Schonfeld? 10 MR. SCHONFELD: Yes. THE COURT: And by the government, correct? 11 12 MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Please return the agreement to 14 me. Ms. Kilfoyle, is there any other promise or 15 16 agreement that has been made to get the corporation to plead 17 guilty that is not found in this agreement? 18 MS. KILFOYLE: No, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Let's go over on page 11 of the agreement the statutory penalties associated with pleading 20 21 guilty to this crime. 22 All right. The statutory maximum sentence that the 23 Court can impose for the violation of Title 18 United States 24 Code Section 371 is a fine of \$500,000 or twice the gross 25 pecuniary gain or gross pecuniary loss resulting from the

16 offense whichever is greatest, Title 15 United States Code 1 2 Section 78ff(a) and Title 18 United States Code section 3 3571(e) and (d), five years' probation, that's in Title 18 4 United States Code Section 3561(c)(1), and a mandatory special assessment of \$400 for the sole count of the information 5 6 pursuant to Title 18 United States Code Section 3013(a)(2)(B). 7 In this case, the parties have agreed that the gross 8 pecuniary gain resulting from the offense is \$91,181,182 and, 9 therefore, pursuant to Title 18 United States Code 3571(d), 10 the maximum fine that may be imposed for this violation is 11 \$182,362,364 for the offense. 12 Do you understand the statutory penalties associated 13 with pleading guilty to this crime? 14 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Anything else from the government? 15 16 MR. LOONAM: No. Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Now, have you on behalf of the 18 corporation discussed the sentencing process in connection 19 with pleading guilty to this crime? 20 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Schonfeld, are you satisfied that 22 the corporation understands the potential sentencing 23 consequences from pleading guilty to this crime? 24 MR. SCHONFELD: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: And what I would like to do is ask the

25

government to go over what it believes is the appropriate sentencing protocol for pleading guilty to this count. I understand that the government and the defense agree that this appears to be the appropriate sentencing protocol.

MR. LOONAM: Correct, Your Honor.

So, to be clear, this is, we're submitting an 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement before Your Honor. We've calculated --

THE COURT: I normally do not take 11(c)(1)(C) pleas, but having reviewed this and the complexity of it, I am willing to take an 11(c)(1)(C) plea in this particular circumstance.

Go ahead.

MR. LOONAM: Understood, Your Honor.

So, in looking at the 2015 United States Sentencing Guidelines and how they would apply here, we've run through the calculation and we've discussed it with the defense.

Unless Your Honor wants me to go through blow by blow, the bottom line is that we believe that the guidelines result in a fine range under the guidelines of 72 million, just about 73 million to just about 146 million under the guidelines for the OZ Africa conduct and, as Your Honor is aware, pursuant to the next matter that's going to be called, the parties have agreed that Och-Ziff will pay over \$213 million in connection with conduct that encompasses the OZ Africa conduct. So it's

18 the parties' contention that that \$213 million satisfies the 1 2 penalty here. 3 THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Schonfeld, you 4 agree to that representation by the government? MR. SCHONFELD: Yes. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. Very well. 7 Okay. Now, do you understand, Ms. Kilfoyle, that 8 the representations made in the plea agreement are subject to 9 review by the Court and in the event that even though the 10 Court is inclined to accept this 11(c)(1)(C) plea, if there is 11 some reason why the Court determines that it would not be 12 legally appropriate to do so, you would be entitled to take 13 back your plea and litigation could proceed. Do you 14 understand that? 15 MS. KILFOYLE: I do, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: And as I said, it is my inclination 17 based on what I have read in the plea agreement to accept the 18 plea with the sentencing recommendation. 19 MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor. And in addition, I 20 would note that because of the complexity of this matter and 21 the millions of documents that are involved in this case, both 22 parties have agreed to waive the PSR and not move forward with the PSR. 23 24 THE COURT: I saw that. 25 MR. LOONAM: Yes.

19 1 THE COURT: But should the Court need any additional 2 information from the parties, the Court will reach out to the 3 parties for that additional information. I agree that a 4 traditional PSR might not be helpful in this case. MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor, and, of course, we'll 5 6 be very responsive to Your Honor's request. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. 8 All right. So, is there anything we should do that 9 we haven't already done before I take the plea and allocution? 10 MR. LOONAM: I think we can go through the colloquy for the entering the new plea. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. If for any reason the defendant 13 believes that the Court has not sentenced the defendant in 14 accordance with the law, you would then have the right to appeal the sentence to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 15 Second Circuit. 16 17 There is a waiver, is there not, as to the right to 18 appeal here? 19 MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor, yes. 20 THE COURT: Where is the waiver? 21 MR. LOONAM: Slightly different than our standard 22 form. 23 THE COURT: Slightly. 24 MR. LOONAM: Okay. So page nine starts the 25 paragraph in the section that's titled "Waiver of rights

20 including the right to appeal" and it falls under subsection E 1 2 of paragraph 16 and then that subsequent paragraph. So pages 10 and 11. 3 4 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Kilfoyle and counsel, do you understand that the plea agreement contains your agreement 5 6 not to appeal or otherwise challenge the sentence in this case 7 or bring any collateral challenge to the conviction if the 8 Court follows the terms of the plea agreement in sentencing 9 it? 10 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. Yes. 11 MR. SCHONFELD: 12 MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Very good. All right. So we can go 14 ahead. 15 What else? 16 MR. LOONAM: I think we can go through the full 17 colloquy to change the plea from not guilty to guilty. 18 THE COURT: We will do that. I am saying before we 19 go there. 20 MR. LOONAM: I think that's where we are, Your 21 Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Good. 23 Mr. Schonfeld, is there anything you would like me 24 to discuss with your client in further detail before I proceed 25 to a formal allocution?

21 1 MR. SCHONFELD: No, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Ms. Kilfoyle, do you have any questions 3 you would like to ask me about the charge, the rights of your 4 corporation or anything else related to this matter that may not be clear to you? 5 6 MS. KILFOYLE: No, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Counsel, do you know of any reason why 8 your client should not enter a plea of guilty to the charge? 9 MR. SCHONFELD: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Are you aware of any viable legal 11 defense to the charge? 12 MR. SCHONFELD: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Kilfoyle, are you ready 14 to plead? 15 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. How does OZ Africa 17 Management GP, LLC plead to the sole count of the information, guilty or not guilty? 18 19 MS. KILFOYLE: Guilty, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Are you making this plea of guilty 21 voluntarily and of your own free will as a corporation? 22 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Has anyone threatened or forced the corporation to plead guilty? 24 25 MS. KILFOYLE: No, Your Honor.

22 1 THE COURT: Other than the agreement with the 2 government, has anyone made any promise that caused the 3 corporation to plead guilty? 4 MS. KILFOYLE: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Has anyone made any promise about the 5 6 sentence the corporation will receive other than that set 7 forth in the plea agreement? 8 MS. KILFOYLE: No, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. At this time, please 10 describe briefly what OZ Africa Management GP, LLC did to be, 11 to commit the crime charged in the sole count of information. 12 So, Your Honor, I think defense counsel MR. LOONAM: 13 intends on presenting an allocution which I believe the 14 corporate representative will adopt. I would note, and I apologize to the Court if I 15 16 missed part of this, and rights are still as set forth in the 17 plea agreement, but that, you know, OZ Africa Management GP, 18 LLC has the right to persist in its not guilty plea, the right 19 to a jury trial, the right to confront and examine witnesses. Did I --20 21 THE COURT: I missed it too. 22 MR. LOONAM: And so I feel like we should --23 THE COURT: I will go through that. 24 MR. LOONAM: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT:

Why don't we just do it.

25

MR. LOONAM: Thank you.

THE COURT: Because this is sort of an odd amalgam of issues and it is very unusual. It is not a straightforward plea involving just one corporation. This situation involves this subsidiary and the parent, the grandparent.

MR. LOONAM: That's correct.

THE COURT: Corporation if you will.

All right. Let me just go over it before you actually allocute.

Now, just advise me, Ms. Kilfoyle, if you understand all these things. The corporation has a right to plead not guilty to the charge, you understand that, correct?

MS. KILFOYLE: Yes.

THE COURT: No one can be forced to guilty. No corporation can be forced to plead guilty. Do you understand that?

MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If the corporation pleads not guilty to the charge or persists in a plea of not guilty, it has the right under the constitution and laws of the United States to a speedy and public trial before a jury with the assistance of its attorneys. Do you understand that?

MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: At any trial, it would be presumed to be innocent. You would not have to prove that the corporation

was innocent. This is because under our system of law the government must come forward with proof that establishes a reasonable doubt that the corporation is guilty of the crime charged. If the government failed to meet this burden of proof, the jury would have the duty to find the corporation not guilty.

Do you understand that?

MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: In the course of a trial, the witnesses for the government would have to come to court and testify in the presence of the corporation and its lawyers, the attorney would have the right to confront and cross-examine any adverse witnesses, they could raise legal objections to evidence the government sought to offer against the corporation and they could offer evidence on behalf of the corporation and compel witnesses to come to court and testify if the corporation or its attorneys thought there was evidence that might help it in this case.

Do you understand that?

MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: At a trial, the corporation would have the right to provide testimony on its behalf if it wished to do so. On the other hand, it could not be forced to be a witness at its own trial or present any testimony whatsoever. This is because under the constitution and laws of the United

States, no individual or corporation can be compelled to be a witness against itself. If the corporation wished to go to trial but chose not to provide a defense, I would instruct the jury that they could not hold that against it.

Do you understand that?

MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. If instead of going to trial the corporation pleads guilty to the crime charged and if I accept the guilty plea of the corporation, it will be giving up its right to a trial and all the other rights that I have just discussed. There will be no trial in this case. As far as the corporation is concerned, there will be no appeal on the question of whether it did or did not commit this crime. The only reason that it could appeal would be if it thought the Court did not properly sentence it taking into account its agreement with the government in the plea agreement.

Do you understand that?

MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?

MR. LOONAM: Your Honor, just, I think, prior to the allocution, a representation that the corporation is satisfied with its representation.

THE COURT: That is usually the first question I ask.

Is the corporation satisfied by its representation

26 of the attorneys of Gibson Dunn? 1 2 MS. KILFOYLE: It is, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: There you go. 4 Now, let me go back to the plea. I am going to ask you once again to plead. 5 6 How does the OZ Africa Management GP, LLC plead as 7 to the sole count of information, guilty or not guilty? 8 MS. KILFOYLE: Guilty, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: And is it making this plea voluntarily and of its own free will? 10 11 MS. KILFOYLE: It is, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Has anyone threatened or forced it to 13 plead guilty? 14 MS. KILFOYLE: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Other than the agreement, has anyone 15 16 made any promise that made the corporation plead guilty? 17 MS. KILFOYLE: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Has anyone made any promise as to the 19 sentence it will receive other than that which is set forth in 20 the 11(c)(1)(C) agreement? 21 MS. KILFOYLE: No, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. So, now you get to tell me by way 23 of counsel's statement which I will ask you if you adopt what 24 the corporation did to commit the crime charged in the sole 25 count of information.

Thank you, Mr. Loonam.

MR. LOONAM: Yes.

MR. SCHONFELD: Your Honor, in summary, in 2007, OZ Africa GP LLC, the defendant, and other affiliated entities created a joint venture which established investment funds called Africa Global Capital, which I'll refer to as AGC, to invest in companies in the African mining and mineral assets sector.

As part of this joint venture, two former employees of Och-Ziff, the parent company, and agents of OZ Africa and AGC referred to in the information as Employee Three and Employee Five discussed with a prospective partner in the Democratic Republic of the Congo or the DRC and referred to in the information as the DRC partner, they discussed forming a joint venture for the purpose of acquiring and consolidating valuable mining assets in the DRC. The premise of the proposed joint venture was that the DRC partner had access to investment opportunities in the DRC through his relationships with officials of the DRC government. In return for access to these investment opportunities, AGC or hedge funds managed by OZ Management would finance DRC partners' operations in the DRC.

As described in the information, Employee Three and Employee Five understood that as part of this contemplated relationship, funds from AGC or Och-Ziff-managed hedge funds

would be used to pay substantial sums of money to DRC government officials to secure access to these opportunities in the DRC.

In 2008 and 2010, AGC and Och-Ziff managed hedge funds loaned funds to entities controlled by the DRC partner. As described in the information, the DRC partner used portions of the loaned funds to make improper payments to officials in the DRC. Although the parties never entered into a formal written partnership agreement, by agreeing, as a result of agreeing to the corrupt arrangement with the DRC partner, Employee Three and Employee Five secured the opportunity for long-term deal flow in the DRC.

THE COURT: Long term what?

MR. SCHONFELD: Deal flow.

THE COURT: What is deal flow?

MR. SCHONFELD: Investment opportunities.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. SCHONFELD: These events began in or about December 2007 and ended in or about February 2013 and included on or about April 17, 2008, an entity under the management and control of the defendant OZ Africa sent a wire transfer in the amount of \$15,750,000 from Barclays Bank in Guernsey to an account in the name of the DRC partners' law firm in Gibraltar.

I understand that the government's proof includes

evidence that the participants in the offense knowingly and willfully made use in or through the Eastern District of New York through the mails and means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce in furtherance of the conspiracy alleged in the information.

In conclusion, Your Honor, I would like to emphasize that since the events described in the criminal information and the plea agreement, Och-Ziff has taken substantial remedial efforts to improve its compliance program to ensure that something like this cannot happen again and Och-Ziff will continue to do so, in part, under the supervision of this court consistent with the deferred prosecution agreement which will be the next matter presented to the Court.

THE COURT: And as to venue, you waive any objection to venue, is that right?

MR. SCHONFELD: That's right, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Ms. Kilfoyle, you heard the allocution read by your counsel. Is that the allocution of the corporation OZ Africa Management GP, LLC?

MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor, we agree the allocution is sufficient.

THE COURT: Okay.

All right. Based on the information that has been

given to me by the authorized representative of the defendant, 1 2 I find that it is acting voluntarily, that it fully 3 understands the charge, its rights and the consequences of its 4 plea. There is, moreover, a factual basis for its plea. therefore, accept the plea of guilty to the sole count of the 5 6 information by OZ Africa Management GP, LLC. 7 Okay. Now, with regard to sentencing? 8 MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor. The parties agree 9 that sentencing should be put out six months from today. 10 Och-Ziff is currently seeking regulatory waivers from the Department of Labor or intends to seek waivers from 11 12 the Department of Labor with respect to certain status and so 13 in order to give them time to seek these waivers which would 14 have an impact on their business, we think sentencing should be put out for six months and in the interest of disclosure, 15 16 if six months out the Department of Labor has not responded to 17 the application but Och-Ziff is diligently pursuing the 18 application, the government intends to consent to an 19 additional adjournment. 20 THE COURT: That's fine, but let me give you a date 21 so that we have a date from which to work. 22 MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Wednesday, March 29th, 2017, at 24 2:00 p.m. for sentencing. 25 As I have previously indicated, request to waive a

presentence report is granted subject to any information the Court may need specifically which it will address to the parties.

MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I am going to return the plea agreement to the government and request a photostatic copy for the Court's file.

MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, now I have in front of me a deferred prosecution agreement in 16-CR-516, United States of America against Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC.

MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It has been marked as Court's Exhibit No. 2. It is dated today, September 29, 2016.

Tell me about it.

MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor. So, we're currently, you know, on to the second matter. United States versus Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC which is 16-CR-516 and once the defendant waives indictment, they will have a pending information against them. In the information, the conduct is broader than the conduct that we just discussed for OZ Africa and so let me just walk through what the information contemplates.

Count One of the information is a conspiracy to

bribe DRC government officials which is the same conduct we just described for Your Honor during which Och-Ziff agents agreed with another, their business partner, to fund transactions and to provide money they knew would be used to pay bribes to high level officials within the government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo in order to obtain mineral rights for a joint venture that they were contemplating. To clarify, they loaned money in the form of convertible loan from a joint venture and it was the entity that they loaned the money to that obtained the mineral assets.

With respect to Count Two, Count Two relates to a separate conspiracy. It's a conspiracy to bribe Libyan government officials. With respect to this Count, a high-ranking Och-Ziff employee agreed with an intermediary, an agent that he retained on behalf of Och-Ziff to pay high-ranking government officials in Libya so that the Libyan Sovereign Wealth Fund would make an investment into Och-Ziff hedge funds, namely, a \$300 million investment.

Then with respect to Count Three and Count Four, these are books and records and internal control provision, the alleged books and records and internal control provision violations for the FCPA. So, with respect to the conduct in Libya and the DRC as well as conduct that occurred in Chad and Niger, Och-Ziff failed to keep proper books and records

insofar as bribe payments and payments to reimburse parties for expenditures that were, in fact, bribe payments were not accurately recorded on Och-Ziff's books and records and that their, the internal controls that were implemented were not sufficient to stop the conduct and that the internal controls that existed to a certain extent were not enforced to stop the conduct.

So, that's the charges contained in the information that will be pending. Pursuant to the deferred prosecution agreement, the government has agreed to stay any trial and prosecution of this matter for three years to give the defendant, Och-Ziff, time to demonstrate good behavior. The company has adopted all sorts of additional remedial measures to address problems with their internal controls and how high risk transactions are addressed internally within the corporate system.

In addition, the deferred prosecution agreement calls for an independent compliance monitor, somebody that's going to come in, take a look at Och-Ziff, took a look at their internal controls on an ongoing basis to make sure that this sort of conduct can't occur.

THE COURT: Who is going to select this monitor?

MR. LOONAM: Well, so, it's a process that's spelled out here. The company is going to propose candidates that the government will have some say in determining whether or not

they are qualified in selecting the monitor, but all the procedures are laid out here.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. LOONAM: In the first instance though it's the company that selects I think three candidates that they'll propose to the government and we'll select from one of those three and if we're not happy with the three, we'll go back to the company and they'll propose additional candidates.

So, at the end of the three-year period, if the government determines that Och-Ziff has been fully compliant with the terms of the deferred prosecution agreement which calls for full cooperation, they have to report any discoveries of bribery conduct and can't have any further instances like those described in the information, the government will dismiss the pending information and not proceed with prosecution. If there's a violation of the deferred prosecution agreement, the government could seek to extend the term of the deferred prosecution agreement or could determine that it's going to go and move forward on the charges set forth in the information.

So those are the options of how this could play out, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

All right. Well, before we go into the information, first we have to have an arraignment on the information.

35 Mr. Schonfeld, have you provided the information to 1 2 your client? 3 MR. SCHONFELD: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: And discussed it with your client? MR. SCHONFELD: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: And answered all your client's questions 6 7 about it? 8 MR. SCHONFELD: Yes. 9 THE COURT: And the -- now, Ms. Kilfoyle, you are 10 already under oath and so I will ask you questions under oath. 11 Do you understand that? MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. 12 13 THE COURT: Has the corporation Och-Ziff Capital 14 Management Group LLC received the information? 15 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. And discussed it with counsel? MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. 17 18 THE COURT: I am going to ask counsel. 19 How does Och-Ziff Capital Management plead? 20 MR. SCHONFELD: Not guilty, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. Do you waive the reading of 22 the information? 23 MR. SCHONFELD: Yes, Your Honor. 24 MR. LOONAM: Your Honor, if we could just formally 25 go through the waiver of indictment.

36 THE COURT: We have to go through it. 1 2 MR. LOONAM: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: That's next. 4 There is not going to be a plea. Is there going to be a plea? 5 6 MR. SCHONFELD: No, Your Honor. 7 MR. LOONAM: No, Your Honor, but we need to --8 THE COURT: No, I am going to go over it. It's 9 right here. 10 MR. LOONAM: Yes, sir. 11 THE COURT: So, I am going to ask a few questions of 12 Ms. Kilfoyle. 13 First of all, are you satisfied with the assistance 14 your attorneys have given the corporation thus far in this 15 matter? 16 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Good. I am going to go over some 17 18 rights the corporation has. 19 All right. The corporation has a constitutional right to be charged by an indictment of a grand jury but can 20 21 waive that right and consent to being charged by the 22 information of the U.S. Attorney. Instead of an indictment, 23 these felony charges have been brought against the corporation 24 by the U.S. Attorney by the filing of an information. Unless 25 it waives indictment, it may not be charged with a felony

unless the grand jury finds by the return of an indictment that there is probable cause to believe that a crime has been committed and that the corporation committed it. If it does not waive indictment, the government may present the case to the grand jury and ask it to indict the corporation.

The grand jury is composed of 16 but not more than 23 persons and at least 12 grand jurors must find that there is probable cause to believe that the corporation committed the crimes with which it is charged. Before it may be indicted, the grand jury might or might not indict the corporation. If the corporation waives indictment by the grand jury, the case would proceed against it on the U.S. Attorney's information just as though it had been indicted.

So, Ms. Kilfoyle, has the corporation discussed waiving an indictment by the grand jury with its attorneys?

MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Does the corporation understand its right to an indictment by a grand jury?

MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Have any threats or promises been made to induce it to waive indictment?

MS. KILFOYLE: No. Your Honor.

THE COURT: And does it wish to waive indictment?

MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.

38 1 THE COURT: So, I have in front of me a waiver of 2 indictment in 6-CR-516, United States of America versus 3 Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC. It's dated today, 4 September 29, 2016, and I'm going to hand it to Ms. Kilfoyle and her attorney. 5 6 MS. KILFOYLE: It's "Kilfoyle," Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Yes. All right. Sorry about that. 8 All right. Ms. Kilfoyle, have you read this document? 9 10 MS. KILFOYLE: I have, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: And discussed it with the corporation's 12 attorney? 13 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: And is that your signature by your name? 15 MS. KILFOYLE: It is. THE COURT: And did you sign it today? 16 17 MS. KILFOYLE: I did. 18 THE COURT: And, Mr. Schonfeld, did you also sign the waiver? 19 20 MR. SCHONFELD: I did. 21 THE COURT: Please return it to me. 22 I find that the corporation, the defendant has 23 knowingly, intentionally and voluntarily waived indictment by the grand jury. 24 25 Okay. Next?

39 1 MR. LOONAM: So, I think we've already covered the 2 arraignment on the information. So I think, Your Honor, the 3 government moves to admit and enter into the record the 4 deferred prosecution agreement. THE COURT: All right. 5 6 MR. LOONAM: And the last thing we need to do with 7 respect to that is, Your Honor, since this matter is going to 8 be pending for three years, the government moves to exclude 9 time under the Speedy Trial Act which allows for time to be 10 excluded if there's a written agreement between the parties to 11 defer prosecution to allow the defendant to demonstrate good 12 conduct and that's pursuant to Title 18 United States Code 13 3161(h)(2). 14 So, I think it's appropriate to exclude time from now until three years from now, September 29, 2019. 15 16 THE COURT: Until September 29th. 17 MR. LOONAM: 2019. 18 THE COURT: 2019? 19 MR. LOONAM: Correct, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Do we know what date of the week that 21 is? 22 MR. LOONAM: Actually, I don't. 23 MR. COHEN: I can look it up, Your Honor. 24 Your Honor, that would be a Sunday so perhaps it 25 should be the 30th.

```
40
1
              MR. LOONAM: So the 30th. Well done, but we're just
 2
    excluding time.
 3
              THE COURT: I never excluded time for that length of
 4
    time.
           We will get to that.
              Who is going to speak on this?
5
              MR. SCHONFELD: I will, Your Honor.
 6
7
              THE COURT: Mr. Schonfeld?
8
              MR. SCHONFELD:
                              Yes.
9
              THE COURT: Mr. Schonfeld, let me just hand you the
10
    deferred prosecution agreement, Exhibit 2, and I note that the
    defense has signed it.
11
12
              MR. SCHONFELD: Yes, we have.
13
              THE COURT: And the government has signed it,
14
    correct?
15
              MR. SCHONFELD: Yes.
16
              MR. LOONAM: Yes.
17
              THE COURT: And you have discussed it with your
18
    client?
19
              MR. SCHONFELD: Yes, we have.
20
              THE COURT: And your client agrees to it?
21
              MR. SCHONFELD:
                              Yes.
22
              THE COURT: Do you want to bring anything to my
23
    attention about it before --
24
              MR. SCHONFELD: No.
25
              THE COURT: -- I order that it be filed?
```

41 Nothing else, Your Honor. 1 MR. SCHONFELD: 2 MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor, order that it's filed. 3 THE COURT: Okay. The agreement is ordered filed 4 with the Clerk of the Court. All right. Do you agree to the exclusion of time 5 6 for three years? 7 MR. SCHONFELD: Yes, we do, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. Time is excluded under the 9 Speedy Trial Act in the interest of justice pursuant to the 10 deferred prosecution agreement that has been approved by all 11 the parties between now and September 30, 2019. 12 MR. LOONAM: Correct, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: So ordered. 14 MR. LOONAM: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Now, let me just ask --16 MR. SCHONFELD: Shall I hand this back? 17 THE COURT: Yes. Yes, please do. 18 Under this agreement, there are requirements for How does that work? 19 reports. 20 MR. LOONAM: Those reports will go to the 21 government, Your Honor, as we are assessing compliance with the terms of the deferred prosecution agreement and the 22 23 options the government has of whether to extend the agreement 24 or whether to hold the defendant in breach of the agreement 25 and proceed with a prosecution. So we review those reports to

42 determine how the company is doing and what action, if any, we 1 2 need to take. 3 THE COURT: Ms. Kilfoyle, you did not sign that 4 agreement, it was signed by someone else, correct? MS. KILFOYLE: That's correct, Your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: But you represent that it is the 7 agreement is the corporation's agreement? 8 MS. KILFOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: With the government, isn't that right? 10 MS. KILFOYLE: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: I just wanted to double check that. 11 12 The Court will not have a role in this unless and 13 until something needs to be brought to the Court's attention, 14 I take it. That's correct, Your Honor, and that's 15 MR. LOONAM: 16 consistent, I believe, with the recent ruling in the <u>United</u> 17 States v. Fokker case. 18 THE COURT: In which district? MR. WARIN: In the D.C. Circuit, Your Honor. 19 MR. LOONAM: In the D.C. Circuit, yes. 20 21 THE COURT: Well, District of Columbia Circuit 22 doesn't have jurisdiction over this court. 23 MR. LOONAM: Absolutely. 24 THE COURT: However, certainly it is persuasive. 25 MR. LOONAM: Yes, Your Honor, and this issue, you

```
43
    know, doesn't come up all that often and it's the most
1
 2
    definitive holding on this matter that's out there, I think.
 3
              THE COURT:
                           Right, and the Court agrees.
 4
              All right.
                          What else do we have to do today?
 5
              MR. LOONAM: Nothing else.
                                           Thank you, Your Honor,
    for accommodating us on short notice.
 6
7
              MR. COHEN:
                           Thank you.
                          Well, I could have put it off but I note
8
              THE COURT:
9
    that there was extensive coverage of this in advance of the
10
    pleading and it was my belief that the best avenue in dealing
11
    with the case was to have the proceeding now on time and so
12
    there would be no misunderstanding about anything that you
13
    planned to do today.
14
              MR. LOONAM:
                           Thank you, Your Honor, and that's
15
    greatly appreciated.
16
              MR. SCHONFELD: Yes, thank you very much.
17
              THE COURT: All right.
                                      Thank you. Have a nice day
18
    everybody.
19
              MR. SCHONFELD:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
20
              MS. KILFOYLE: Thank you, Your Honor.
               (Matter concluded.)
21
22
23
24
25
```